Review Items and Evaluation Criteria for Master's Dissertations at Nagoya Institute of Technology

(Standard No. 2 of January 20, 2021)

(Objective)

Article 1. The necessary matters regarding review items and evaluation criteria will be determined based on the provisions of Article 4-2 of the Nagoya Institute of Technology Degree Regulations (established on April 1, 2004. Hereinafter referred to as "Degree Regulations").

(Dissertation Review System)

Article 2. The provisions of Article 10, Paragraphs 1 to 3 of the Degree Regulations shall apply mutatis mutandis to the review system.

(Review Items for Dissertations)

Article 3. The review items for dissertations and for the results of research on specific subjects specified in Article 34, Paragraph 2 of the Nagoya Institute of Technology Graduate School Regulations (enacted on April 1, 2004) shall be as specified in the Appendix.

(Dissertation Review Method)

Article 4. The review of dissertations shall be conducted in accordance with Article 11, Paragraph 1 of the Nagoya Institute of Technology Graduate School Curriculum Course Regulations (enacted on April 1, 2004), and the method of review shall apply Articles 20 and 31 of the Nagoya Institute of Technology Detailed Regulations for the Awarding of Master's and Doctoral Degrees (enacted on April 1, 2004) mutatis mutandis.

(Dissertation Evaluation Criteria)

Article 5. A dissertation shall pass if it is deemed to have met the standards for all of the review items listed in the Appendix.

Supplementary Provisions

- 1. These guidelines come into effect on April 1, 2021, and apply to students entering from the 2020 academic year.
- 2. The Evaluation Criteria for Master's and Doctoral Theses of Nagoya Institute of Technology (Standard No. 2, February 19, 2020) are abolished. However, the review items and evaluation criteria for dissertations of students admitted to the 2019 academic year or earlier who are currently enrolled in a doctoral course shall remain the same as before.

Appendix: Master's Dissertation Review Items

Program/ Course	Life Science and Applied Chemistry Program Physical Science and Engineering Program Electrical and Mechanical Engineering Program Computer Science Program Architecture, Civil Engineering and Industrial Management Engineering Program Innovation Program	Creative Engineering Program	Adult Innovation Course (for converting the results of research on a specific issue)
Review paragraph Item	 The research topic is recognized to have academic significance and the research method is appropriate. There are appropriate citations for relevant research. The novelty of the research results is recognized. The research contents are presented in a clear and logical manner. 	 The vision of the research results and their future prospects should be clarified from the perspective of industry and society. The research topic is recognized to have academic significance and the research method is appropriate. There are appropriate citations for relevant research. The novelty of the research results is recognized. The research contents are presented in a clear and logical manner. The research topic and results are evaluated and examined from ethical, legal, social, and multiple engineering perspectives. 	 1 The research topic is recognized to have industrial or social significance and the research method is appropriate. 2 There are appropriate citations for relevant research. 3 The research contents are presented in a clear and logical manner.